Appendix 7.0 Design and Evaluation Methods

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

ACR	ONYMS	5		5		
A7.1	INTRO	DUCTION	AND BACKGROUND	7		
	A7.1.1	Crosscut I	Description	7		
	A7.1.2	Overall Ti	meline	8		
A7.2	RESEA	ARCH AND	D DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY	8		
	A7.2.1	Objectives	S	8		
	A7.2.2	Scope		9		
	A7.2.3	Role in Vi	ability Research and Development	9		
	A7.2.4	Research 1	Interfaces	9		
		A7.2.4.1	Relationship to Generation IV International Forum Research and	0		
		. =	Development Projects			
		A7.2.4.2	University Collaborations			
		A7.2.4.3	Industry Interactions			
		A7.2.4.4	International Nuclear Energy Research Initiative	10		
A7.3	HIGHLIGHTS OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT					
	A7.3.1	Modeling	Improvement	11		
		A7.3.1.1	Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulations	11		
		A7.3.1.2	System Dynamic Simulation Tools			
		A7.3.1.3	Nuclear Data			
		A7.3.1.4	Monte Carlo Analysis Capabilities			
		A7.3.1.4 A7.3.1.5	Reactor Neutronic Design Codes			
		A7.3.1.6	Sensitivity Analysis Capabilities			
	A7.3.2 Evaluation Methodologies					
		A7.3.2.1	Economics Evaluation Methodology	12		
		A7.3.2.2	Proliferation Resistance and Physical Protection Evaluation Methodology	12		
		A7.3.2.3	Risk and Safety Evaluation Methodology			
	A7.3.3	Design an	d Evaluation Methods Program Coordination	13		
A7.4	PROJECT COST AND SCHEDULE					
	A7.4.1 Fiscal Year 2007 Project Budget					
	A7.4.2 Ten-Year Project Schedule					

A7.4.3	Ten-Year Project Milestones	15
A7.5 REFER	ENCES	16
Figure A7.1.	FIGURES Ten-year project schedule	14
	TABLES	
Table A7.1.	FY 2007 budget profile for D&EM Activities (\$K).	13

ACRONYMS

AFC R&D Advanced Fuel Cycle Research and Development

CFD computational fluid dynamics

D&EM Design and Evaluation Methods

DOE Department of Energy

EMWG Economics Modeling Working Group

FY fiscal year

GIF Generation IV International Forum
GNEP Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

I-NERI International Nuclear Energy Research Initiative

MWG Methodology Working Group

NERI Nuclear Energy Research Initiative

NGNP Next Generation Nuclear Plant
NHI Nuclear Hydrogen Initiative
NTD National Technical Director

OSMOSE Oscillation in Minerve of isotopes in "Eupraxic" spectra

PIRT phenomena identification and ranking tables

PR&PP proliferation resistance and physical protection

R&D research and development

RSWG Reactor Safety Working Group SFR Sodium-Cooled Fast Reactor SIM System Integration Manager

VHTR Very-High-Temperature Reactor

This page intentionally left blank.

A7.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The design of Generation IV systems will require simulation capabilities that provide accurate predictions of system performance. Viability of new technologies and design features will require confirmation by credible analyses verified with experimental data. Credible analyses will also be required as the basis for regulatory reviews and licensing of Generation IV designs of choice. The required simulation capabilities include computer codes and databases for simulating neutronic, thermal-hydraulic, and structural behavior in steady-state and transient conditions. For each system and type of analysis, the adequacy of existing analysis tools will need to be assessed and the required enhancements to their capabilities implemented and qualified.

Many of the required analytical capabilities are crosscutting because they are applicable to multiple Generation IV systems. Examples are Monte Carlo and deterministic transport methods for neutronics modeling, modern computational fluid dynamic (CFD) methods for heat transfer and fluid flow simulation, and modular code systems for fuel cycle evaluations and simulation of transients and postulated accidents. Advances in these capabilities will help reduce uncertainties in predicted system behavior. This can be exploited in system development by targeting the best performance achievable without exceeding the capabilities or limits of the technologies employed by the system.

A need has also been identified in *A Technology Roadmap for Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems* (DOE 2002) to advance methodologies for evaluating overall system performance against the Generation IV goals of sustainability, economics, safety, reliability, and proliferation resistance and physical protection (PR&PP). Capabilities previously developed require revision and extension to make them more quantitative, to improve their process for employing expert judgment, to quantify uncertainty in evaluated performance, to represent better unique features of Generation IV systems, and to account more comprehensively for important factors influencing performance. Application of these methodologies will help guide the research and development (R&D) on the systems and provide a basis for judging the success of the R&D as it progresses.

A7.1.1 Crosscut Description

The objectives of the Generation IV Design and Evaluation Methods (D&EM) R&D activities are to:

- Enable cost-effective development of high-performance Generation IV systems by providing capabilities for system design development, safety enhancement, and performance optimization
- Provide methodologies for measuring the performance of Generation IV systems against Generation IV technology goals
- Support R&D prioritization based on results of system design analyses and performance evaluations
- Form the groundwork for safety review, licensing, and regulation of Generation IV systems.

D&EM R&D addresses the need for validated analysis tools for design of Generation IV systems and confirmation of their safety. These analysis tools include (1) modeling approaches, (2) computer codes, and (3) databases used to represent neutronic, thermal, fluid-flow, and structural phenomena in steady-state and transient conditions. They also represent the mutual coupling among these phenomena and their coupling with additional phenomena (e.g., fuel behavior, fission gas release, materials damage, chemical reactions) developed within other elements of the Generation IV, Advanced Fuel Cycle Research and Development (AFC R&D), and Nuclear Hydrogen Initiative (NHI) Programs.

Another major area of R&D in D&EM is to advance methodologies for evaluating overall system performance against Generation IV technology goals. This is accomplished through participation in the Methodology Working Groups (MWGs) established by the Generation IV International Forum (GIF).

A7.1.2 Overall Timeline

The overall timeline for D&EM research conforms to the timelines currently projected for developing the Generation IV systems. Accordingly, the first five years are devoted to providing the capabilities needed for (1) resolution of viability issues for Generation IV systems, (2) development of high-performance Next Generation Nuclear Plant (NGNP) and Sodium-Cooled Fast Reactor (SFR) designs, and (3) down-selection of reactor systems and options. Additionally, there is early emphasis on establishing the evaluation methodologies, so that they may be used in evaluating progress toward the Generation IV goals and in choosing among system design alternatives.

In the second five-year phase of the program, the analysis methods will be increasingly focused on the specific designs adopted for the NGNP and SFR systems and on the development of other Generation IV systems. These methods will be formally qualified for use in design development and licensing. Moreover, in this second phase, efforts will be directed to supporting the application of the methodologies for evaluating the performance of selected system designs.

A7.2 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

A7.2.1 Objectives

The objective for this program element is to provide the analytical tools and evaluation methodologies needed to develop high-performance Generation IV systems. This can be accomplished most efficiently by taking advantage of existing analysis capabilities and improving them as needed. Accordingly, the strategy is to:

- 1. Establish modeling requirements for each system, working with the System Integration Manager (SIM) and the GIF project management board responsible for system design development and safety confirmation
- 2. Assess the adequacy of existing tools and databases by examining their capabilities relative to the requirements, identifying gaps, and comparing predictions against results that are independently obtained through measurement or analysis
- 3. Implement required modifications to the analysis methods and define the needs for new measurements
- 4. Validate the models and analysis methods by confirming their ability to simulate the physical phenomena of interest with sufficient accuracy and precision.

Both initial assessment and validation of models are based substantially on comparisons with measurements. Identification of relevant measurements and the need for additional measurements is thus included as an integral part of the D&EM work.

A7.2.2 Scope

The work scope for D&EM consists of the following three components:

- *Modeling Improvement:* Planning, implementation and qualification of analysis capabilities (computer codes and data) for designing Generation IV systems and confirming their safety
- *Evaluation Methodologies:* Development of methodologies for evaluating overall system performance and measuring progress toward the Generation IV technology goals
- **D&EM Program Coordination:** Work with Generation IV Program participants and international partners to advance design and evaluation methods in a coordinated and cost-effective manner.

Highlights of the R&D in each area are provided in Section A7.3.

A7.2.3 Role in Viability Research and Development

Accurate and efficient design capabilities are needed not only for the development of high-performance Generation IV systems, but also for the coordination and cost-effective execution of the viability R&D for each system. An essential part of this viability R&D is to develop design concepts that provide a cohesive framework for technology development (e.g., for fuels and materials) and ensure the integration and compatibility of different technologies. In developing these conceptual designs, there is a strong incentive to reduce modeling uncertainties that necessitate conservatism in design (which limit performance gains) or potentially costly efforts to improve upon the capabilities of available technologies.

Improved evaluation methodologies also benefit the viability phase R&D because they enable better assessment of progress afforded by technology advances toward the Generation IV goals. In particular, they can provide an improved basis for determining the performance benefits of a technical option (e.g., a material having higher temperature capabilities) and for selecting among competing technical options.

A7.2.4 Research Interfaces

The D&EM activities will be performed by a team of U.S. national laboratories, universities, and commercial organizations, in cooperation with participants from other GIF countries, and under the leadership of a National Technical Director (NTD). The NTD is responsible to the Department of Energy (DOE) for coordinating the overall D&EM program and providing the required interfaces with Generation IV SIMs and NTDs responsible for other technical areas in the Generation IV, AFC R&D, and NHI Programs. An important goal is to coordinate the assessment, development, and qualification activities across Generation IV systems to avoid duplication of effort and to ensure that relevant developments in other national and international programs are effectively utilized in the development of Generation IV systems.

A7.2.4.1 Relationship to Generation IV International Forum Research and Development Projects

The D&EM research directed to improve analysis models and tools is coordinated within the broader scope of research defined for each Generation IV system by the responsible System Steering Committee. These modeling improvement efforts will be included in the U.S. contribution to the GIF project plans for computational methods improvement or system design and integration.

The evaluation methodology activities are conducted by GIF MWGs reporting to the GIF Expert Group. Each MWG consists of GIF-designated experts from national laboratories, universities, and industry. Two MWGs were formed in fiscal year (FY) 2003 to advance the methodologies for evaluation of economics and of PR&PP. A MWG for risk and safety evaluations was chartered by GIF in FY 2005.

Although the GIF MWGs will focus primarily on developing and testing the required methodologies, they are also expected to support the application of the methodologies for Generation IV system evaluations. These evaluations will require significant effort and expertise beyond that of working group members (e.g., detailed familiarity with system design). Results of the evaluations will be used for periodic reassessment of system performance and for guiding R&D priorities.

A7.2.4.2 University Collaborations

University researchers participate in the development of evaluation methodologies through their membership on the GIF MWGs. Professors from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and the University of California at Berkeley are currently members of the PR&PP Expert Group, the latter as co-chair. The GIF Economics Modeling Working Group (EMWG), which is developing the economic evaluation methodology, currently has an economics professor from Stanford University as one of its members.

University professors and graduate students also participate in D&EM research through the Nuclear Energy Research Initiative (NERI). Several proposals targeting improvements in Generation IV analysis and evaluation methods have been contributed in response to the NERI solicitation.

A7.2.4.3 Industry Interactions

As part of this Generation IV Program element, modeling capabilities available from commercial or industrial organizations are evaluated for their applicability to the analysis of Generation IV systems. Notable examples are the "lattice physics" tools developed for light water reactor analysis and commercial CFD software for thermal-fluidic analysis. Industrial organizations are also expected to take part in GIF projects and the relevant Project Management Boards will provide a forum for coordination of contributions and efforts.

Representatives of foreign and domestic industry organizations, as well as retired experts with industry work experience, participate in the MWGs. In addition, industry representatives are invited to participate in seminars, workshops, and other forums organized as part of the Generation IV D&EM Program activities.

A7.2.4.4 International Nuclear Energy Research Initiative

Some of the activities directed to improving Generation IV analysis capabilities have been conducted as International Nuclear Energy Research Initiative (I-NERI) collaborations. Moreover these activities are expected to be included within the scope of the Design and Safety Methods Project which may be defined for each Generation IV system by its GIF steering committee. U.S. investigators pursuing the modeling improvement tasks participate in international working groups organized by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development/Nuclear Energy Agency and the International Atomic Energy Agency, workshops focused on specialized topics (e.g., nuclear data, neutron transport methods, system dynamics modeling, and CFD applications), and other international forums.

A7.3 HIGHLIGHTS OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Highlights of the R&D directed to improve modeling capabilities and evaluation methodologies are summarized below.

A7.3.1 Modeling Improvement

A7.3.1.1 Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulations

Although CFD has so far proven to be a useful design tool for light water reactor systems under normal operating conditions, its applicability for different types of coolants or for simulation of accident conditions remains to be established. To accomplish the Generation IV safety assurance objectives, creation of programs that increase the accuracy of CFD, extend its range of applicability, and experimentally validate its predictions as an engineering simulation tool will be important. The initial focus will be on verifying the applicability of commonly-used CFD software for different types of coolants, distinct heat transfer regimes, and a wide range of flow phenomena.

A7.3.1.2 System Dynamic Simulation Tools

A crosscutting system dynamics tool for consistent assessment of concepts is needed. Planned activities include the evaluation, enhancement, and integration of modules from various system dynamics code versions that were previously developed for diverse reactor plant types. The proposed activity will advance such codes by integrating and validating existing capabilities, and extending them for analysis of Generation IV systems.

A7.3.1.3 Nuclear Data

The uncertainties in nuclear data for higher actinides are significant and affect predictions of isotopic inventories, decay heat, and radiation emission characteristics. Data requiring additional assessments include energy release per fission, spontaneous fission model parameters, fission product yields, half-lives, decay energies, decay branching ratios, and radiotoxicity factors. Improved data needs to be incorporated into inventory tracking tools to ensure that they give accurate results.

A7.3.1.4 Monte Carlo Analysis Capabilities

The recent and continuing growth in computer power compels the assessment and further development of Monte Carlo-based analysis capabilities applicable to multiple reactor types. Enhancement of these codes will also be investigated, including the propagation of errors as a function of depletion, provision of temperature interpolation capability, and modeling of thermal-hydraulic feedback.

A7.3.1.5 Reactor Neutronic Design Codes

An integrated neutronic and depletion capability is needed for modeling non-equilibrium and equilibrium cycle operations of Generation IV systems, with representation of both their in-core and ex-core fuel cycle segments. Accurate modeling of systems with significant spectral gradients and changes of spectrum with depletion is a key requirement. The tool would employ advanced modules suitable for analysis of different Generation IV systems.

A7.3.1.6 Sensitivity Analysis Capabilities

Uncertainties in reactor physics data lead to uncertainties in predictions of depletion-dependent system characteristics. By using sensitivity analysis methods, it is possible to avoid explicit recalculation of the effects for each data variation and at the same time to obtain information on additional data needs. This activity will develop an analytical tool for burnup-dependent sensitivity evaluation and models for evaluating the uncertainties in predicted performance characteristics for different Generation IV designs.

A7.3.2 Evaluation Methodologies

A7.3.2.1 Economics Evaluation Methodology

An integrated nuclear energy economics model is central to standard and credible economic evaluation of Generation IV nuclear energy systems. The innovative nuclear systems considered within Generation IV require new tools for their economic assessment, since their characteristics differ significantly from those of current Generation II and III nuclear power plants. In addition, economic models previously developed were not designed to compare nuclear energy systems featuring innovative fuel cycles and capability for generation of electricity, hydrogen, and other energy products as well as energy conversion technologies, or to evaluate economics of deployment in different countries or world regions. The GIF EMWG is charged with developing an integrated economics model applicable to the comprehensive evaluation of the economic performance of Generation IV nuclear energy systems.

A7.3.2.2 Proliferation Resistance and Physical Protection Evaluation Methodology

Methodologies previously available for evaluating proliferation resistance and physical protection of nuclear energy systems were limited by the lack of accepted figures of merit that provide a sufficient representation of system performance in these areas. The PR&PP MWG has been formed to develop an improved methodology for assessing Generation IV systems. This group is charged with developing a systematic method for evaluating and comparing the proliferation resistance and physical protection of these systems, including their fuel cycle facilities and operations. To the maximum extent possible, a quantitative and standardized methodology is targeted, as is the ability to identify system features that contribute to the overall resulting assessment of the comparative proliferation resistance and physical protection of the system.

A7.3.2.3 Risk and Safety Evaluation Methodology

The approach for evaluating risk and safety of Generation IV systems and the technical basis for their future regulation need to be established. There is considerable incentive to define an evaluation methodology that is independent of system/technology and that can support safety and licensing reviews of Generation IV systems deployed in different countries or world regions. The GIF Risk and Safety Working Group was formed to support this aim and, in particular, to:

- Specify safety and quality goals and methodologies for evaluating system performance relative to these goals
- Facilitate integrated consideration of safety PR&PP goals
- Provide recommendations to GIF on interactions with the nuclear safety regulatory community and other issues relevant to safety and regulation
- Interact with the Generation IV system steering committees and project management boards to provide insights for the definition of the R&D that advances safety, reliability, and quality goals.

A7.3.3 Design and Evaluation Methods Program Coordination

This D&EM program component provides for coordination and oversight of R&D activities directed to improving modeling capabilities and evaluation methodologies. It also provides for maintaining cognizance of related R&D activities conducted in other national and international programs so that the benefits of those activities may be realized to the greatest extent possible by the Generation IV Program. Finally, it provides for periodic reporting of results to DOE and GIF and their advisory review committees, as well as for participation in conferences, workshops, and educational forums.

A7.4 PROJECT COST AND SCHEDULE

A7.4.1 Fiscal Year 2007 Project Budget

Major D&EM program components are supported by funding as shown in Table A7.1.

Table A7.1. FY 2007 budget profile for D&EM Activities (\$K).

Task	FY-07 ^a
Coordination of Design and Evaluation R&D	307
Development and Application of Evaluation Methodologies ^b	1,024
Total	1,331
a. FY 2007 budget includes FY 2006 carryover funds.b. GIF evaluation methodology funding.	

A7.4.2 Ten-Year Project Schedule

A high-level schedule for D&EM R&D is shown in Figure A7.1. This schedule reflects the basic strategy adopted for improving computer code modeling capabilities in the areas of neutronics, thermal-hydraulics, and safety. Improvement of modeling capabilities for the NGNP and for fast reactors (particularly the SFR) is emphasized during the first few years. For each type of analysis capability, the early focus is on compilation of benchmark data (particularly measurements) for code qualification, assessment of existing capabilities, and determination of the needs for new measurements. Subsequent efforts are devoted to implementing and testing improvements of existing capabilities, and on software verification and validation.

Evaluation methodology efforts for economics and PR&PP are structured such that efforts through FY 2007 are mainly directed to development and documentation of the overall methodology. In a subsequent phase, the evaluation methodology activities are directed increasingly to supporting application to Generation IV systems and implementing modifications indicated by user experience and feedback.

Nuclear Data

OSMOSE reactivity worth measurements Assessment through analysis of benchmarks New evaluations and validation

Reactor Physics Integral Measurements

Assessment focused on VHTR and SFR needs Documentation and peer review for VHTR Documentation and peer review for fast reactor

Reactor Physics Analysis Codes

Assessment for VHTR
Modifications for VHTR analyses
Qualification for VHTR
Assessment for Fast Reactor applications
Modifications for Fast Reactor applications
Qualification for Fast Reactors

Themal Hydraulics

PIRT development for VHTR
Sensitivity and scaling analysis
CFD code model assessment for VHTR
Define new measurement needs for VHTR
Code selection and modification
Model verification and validation
PIRT development for Fast Reactor
Assess Fast Reactor T-H and safety modeling needs
Define new measurement needs for Fast Reactor
Adapt and qualify codes for Fast Reactor application

Economic Evaluation Methodology

Hydrogen cost model development
Plant size/scale model development
Fuel cycle model development (with GNEP)
Revision of cost estimation guidelines
Software implementation of cost models
Support review and applications of methodology

PR&PP Evaluation Methodology

Establish framework for methodology Specify and demonstrate application of methodology Develop evaluation software and Implementation Guide Develop methods for quantifying PR&PP measures Support reviews and applications of methodology

Risk and Safety Evaluation Methodology

Define risk and safety evaluation criteria Specify, implement, and test methodology Assess technical basis for harmonized regulation

Figure A7.1. Ten-year project schedule.



A7.4.3 Ten-Year Project Milestones

The major D&EM milestones are as follows:

FY 2007

- Issue revised PR&PP evaluation methodology report
- Issue PR&PP Implementation Guide for methodology users
- Complete and issue EMWG Cost Estimation Guidelines
- Issue cost estimation software and user's manual.

FY 2008

- Report on enhancement of the DIF3D/REBUS-3 code system for NGNP analysis
- Report on integral reactor physics benchmark evaluations
- Document coupled Reactor Excursion and Leak Analysis Program (RELAP5)-CFD calculation
- Report on PIRT development for NGNP
- Provide assessment report and best-practice guidelines for CFD code application to Generation IV systems
- Specify initial set of risk and safety evaluation criteria
- PR&PP Implementation Guide.

FY 2009

- Report on contribution to OSMOSE program of actinide sample reactivity measurements
- Complete NGNP sensitivity and scaling analyses for thermal-fluidic and safety-related phenomena
- Complete PIRT development for Fast Reactor
- Report on application of PR&PP evaluation methodology to Generation IV systems
- Report on technology independent risk and safety evaluation criteria.

FY 2010

- Report on nuclear data assessments and status of validation tests using integral experiments
- Specify fast reactor modeling improvement needs
- Complete analysis of NGNP integral physics benchmarks
- Define needs for new measurements of NGNP thermal-fluid parameters
- Apply economics methodology to evaluations of Generation IV systems
- Report on risk and safety evaluation methodology and results of initial applications.

FY 2011 - 2012

- Complete modifications of Fast Reactor physics analysis software
- Perform verification and validation tests for neutronic design and fuel cycle modeling tools
- Perform verification and validation tests for system dynamics modeling tools
- Implement software configuration control for analysis capabilities
- Complete and document risk and safety evaluation methodology.

FY 2013 and beyond

- Complete analysis of Fast Reactor integral physics benchmarks
- Complete OSMOSE reactivity worth measurements
- Implement and qualify Fast Reactor system dynamics modeling improvements
- Update design analysis software to accommodate system design changes and address findings of verification and validation tests
- Complete and document risk and safety evaluation methodology; demonstrate application of methodology
- Apply PR&PP methodology to evaluations of Generation IV systems and fuel cycles.

A7.5 REFERENCES

DOE, 2002, A Technology Roadmap for Generation-IV Nuclear Energy Systems, GIF-002-00, U.S. DOE Nuclear Energy Research Advisory Committee and the Generation IV International Forum, December 2002.